Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Yun Zhong,^a Weiguang Zhang,^a Jun Fan,^b Mingyu Tan,^b Chian Sing Lai^c and Edward R. T. Tiekink^c*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 330027, People's Republic of China, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, People's Republic of China, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543

Correspondence e-mail: chmtert@nus.edu.sg

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 223 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.100 Data-to-parameter ratio = 25.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis[μ -N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato]-1:2 κ^{3} S,S':S';2:1 κ^{3} S,S':S'-bis{[N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato- κ^{2} S,S']cadmium(II)}

The dinuclear title compound, $[Cd_2(C_5H_{10}NO_2S_2)_4]$, is disposed about a centre of inversion and features both bidentate bridging and chelating dithiocarbamate ligands. A three-dimensional network structure arises as a result of substantial hydrogen-bonding interactions.

Received 7 October 2004 Accepted 8 October 2004 Online 16 October 2004

Comment

Monomeric, dimeric, cyclotetrameric, polymeric, two-dimensional and three-dimensional structures are all featured amongst the zinc-triad 1,1-dithiolates (Tiekink, 2002). The challenge is to rationalize the fascinating structural diversity and some progress has been made to achieve that aim (*e.g.* Cox & Tiekink, 1999; Lai *et al.*, 2002; Tiekink, 2003; Lai *et al.*, 2004). The structure of the title compound, (I), a known species (Pages *et al.*, 1985; Marino *et al.*, 1999), has been examined in this context and found to adopt the common structural type found for compounds of the general formula $[Cd(S_2CNR_2)_2]_2$ (Tiekink, 2003). Thus, the centrosymmetric molecule (Fig. 1 and Table 1) features a tridentate dithiocarbamate ligand that chelates one Cd atom and simultaneously bridges to the centrosymmetrically related partner. The two remaining dithiocarbamate ligands are chelating.



The coordination geometry about the Cd atom is defined by five S atoms, which define an environment intermediate between square pyramidal and trigonal bipyramidal, with a leaning to the former description. This, again, is consistent

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

The molecular structure and crystallographic numbering scheme for (I). The molecule is disposed about a centre of inversion [symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z]. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level (Johnson, 1976).

with the literature precedents (Tiekink, 2003). The interesting feature of this structure is the presence of hydrogen bonding between molecules, owing to the presence of hydrogenbonding functionality in the N-bound residues.

A view of the unit-cell contents is shown in Fig. 2. The structure can be thought of as being comprised of layers held together primarily by $O-H \cdots O$ interactions in the *ab* plane; see Table 2 for geometric parameters describing the hydrogenbonding interactions. Successive layers stack parallel to the c direction, held together by $O-H \cdots O$ interactions.

Experimental

CdCl₂ (0.046 g, 0.25 mmol) was added to a solution of NH(CH₂CH₂OH)₂ (0.105 g, 1 mmol) and CS₂ (0.091 g, 1.2 mmol) in methanol (15 ml). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and the precipitate was filtered off. Colourless crystals were obtained from the slow evaporation of the filtrate [m.p. 428-430 K (decomposition); cf. literature 426 K (decomposition) (Marino et al., 1999)].





Crystal data

 $[Cd_2(C_5H_{10}NO_2S_2)_4]$ $D_{\rm r} = 1.858 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ $M_r = 945.84$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 8.1588 (10) Åb = 8.3040 (10) Å $\theta = 2.6 - 29.9^{\circ}$ c = 25.008 (3) Å $\beta = 93.956 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 1690.3 (4) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker AXS SMART CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.630, \ T_{\max} = 0.882$ 13 581 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$ $wR(F^2) = 0.100$ S = 1.334909 reflections 194 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 946 reflections $\mu = 1.80 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 223 (2) KPlate, colourless $0.34 \times 0.23 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$

```
4909 independent reflections
4665 reflections with I > 2\sigma(I)
R_{\rm int}=0.029
\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^{\circ}
h=-11\rightarrow 10
k=-9\rightarrow 11
l = -33 \rightarrow 34
```

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0385P)^2]$ + 1.1441P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.52$ e Å $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.13 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1			
Selected	geometric parameters	(Å.	°).

Cd-S1	2.5673 (8)	\$2-C1	1.720 (3)
Cd-S2	2.6389 (9)	S3-C6	1.712 (3)
Cd-S3	2.5915 (8)	S4-C6	1.756 (3)
Cd-S4	2.8021 (9)	N1-C1	1.334 (4)
Cd-S4 ⁱ	2.5892 (8)	N2-C6	1.330 (4)
S1-C1	1.730 (3)		
S1-Cd-S2	69.73 (2)	Cd-S2-C1	84.15 (10)
S1-Cd-S3	103.44 (3)	Cd-S3-C6	91.01 (10)
S1-Cd-S4	130.58 (3)	Cd-S4-C6	83.40 (10)
S1-Cd-S4 ⁱ	131.35 (3)	Cd ⁱ -S4-C6	100.52 (9)
S2-Cd-S3	149.42 (3)	Cd-S4-Cd ⁱ	82.64 (2)
S2-Cd-S4	94.24 (2)	S1-C1-S2	119.25 (16)
S2-Cd-S4 ⁱ	103.45 (2)	S1-C1-N1	119.9 (2)
S3-Cd-S4	66.99 (2)	S2-C1-N1	120.9 (2)
S3-Cd-S4 ⁱ	102.79 (3)	S3-C6-S4	118.58 (16)
S4-Cd-S4 ⁱ	97.36 (2)	S3-C6-N2	121.1 (2)
Cd-S1-C1	86.20 (10)	S4-C6-N2	120.3 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

Table 2

H	[yd	lrogen-	bonding	geometry	(A,	°).
---	-----	---------	---------	----------	-----	-----

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
01-H1···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.83	1.95	2.732 (4)	158
O2-H2··· $O3$ ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83	1.85	2.677 (4)	173
$O3-H3\cdots O1^{iv}$	0.83	1.86	2.676 (3)	169
$O4-H4\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.83	2.16	2.964 (4)	162

Symmetry codes: (ii) $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) $x - 1, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

The C- and O-bound H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation, with C-H = 0.98 Å and O-H = 0.84 Å, and $U_{iso}(H)$

= $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ and $1.5U_{eq}(O)$. The deepest hole in the final difference map was 0.06 Å from the Cd atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *PATTY* in *DIRDIF*92 (Beurskens *et al.*, 1992); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (990463) and the National University of Singapore (R-143-000-213-112).

References

- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., García-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Smits, J. M. M. & Smykalla, C. (1992). *The DIRDIF Program System*. Technical Report. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.6), SAINT (Version 5.6) and SADABS (Version 2.01). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cox, M. J. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1999). Z. Kristallogr. 214, 584-590.
- Crystal Impact (2002). *DIAMOND*. Version 2.1e. Crystal Impact, Bonn, Germany.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Marino, G., Chierice, G. O., Pinheiro, C. D. & Souza, A. G. (1999). *Thermochim. Acta*, **328**, 209–215.
- Lai, C. S., Lim, Y. X., Yap, T. C. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 596–600.

Lai, C. S., Liu, S. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2004). CrystEngComm, 6, 221-226.

- Pages, A., Casas, J. S., Sanchez, A., Sordo, J., Bravo, J. & Gayoso, M. (1985). J. Inorg. Biochem. 25, 35–42.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Tiekink, E. R. T. (2003). CrystEngComm, 5, 101–113.